



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/432,087	11/02/1999	TAKESHI MISAWA	0879-0242P	9612

7590

01/18/2002

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP  
P O BOX 747  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747

EXAMINER

VORTMAN, ANATOLY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2835

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NP

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/432,087

Applicant(s)

MISAWA, TAKESHI

Examiner

Anatoly Vortman

Art Unit

2835

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_                      6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Amendments***

1. The submission of the Reply filed on 12/17/01 is acknowledged. At this point no claims have been amended and no new claims have been added. Thus, previously filed claims 1-17 are pending in the instant application.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is vague and indefinite because it refers to the industry standard (PCMCIA). The reference in the claim to the industry standard makes the claim inherently vague and indefinite as industry standards are subject to change and/or revision.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2835

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1-7, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US/5,880,928 to Ma.

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Ma disclosed (Fig. 1 and 2), a personal computer comprising: a base unit (1); an input part (keyboard) arranged on the base unit, the input part having an operational face (a top side of the keyboard); a display unit (2) having a display face (a front side of the display), the display unit being operatively interconnected to the base unit (1) in a state that the display face forms an angle less than  $180^\circ$  with the operational face when the personal computer is in use (the display unit is rotatable and may be positioned at any desirable angle); and a chamber capable of accepting an external device (222 or 212) enhancing a function of the personal computer, the chamber being arranged in (within) the display unit (2).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Ma disclosed that said display unit (2) is capable of closing the display face and the operation face when the personal computer is not in use, (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Ma disclosed that said display unit (2) is hinged and rigidly joined to the base unit (1) in the state that the display face forms the angle less than  $180^\circ$  with the operational face, (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Ma disclosed that the chamber opens (22) at topside of the display unit (2), (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7, Ma disclosed that chamber opens (21) at a lateral side of the display unit (2), (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2835

Regarding claim 9, Ma disclosed a keyboard, (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 11, Ma disclosed a camera (222).

Regarding claim 15, Ma disclosed (Fig. 1 and 2), an apparatus for interfacing a computer with an external device (222 or 212), the apparatus comprising: a display unit (2) having a display face (a front side of the display) and being movably coupled to a base unit such that the display face forms an angle generally less than  $180^\circ$  with the base unit (the display unit is rotatable and may be positioned at any desirable angle); and a chamber having a predetermined depth, the chamber disposed in the display unit (2), the chamber having an electrical connection (connecting wires positioned at the bottom of the chambers as shown on Fig. 1) in an innermost recess thereof, the chamber configured to accept at least a portion of the external device (222 or 212) such that an electrical connection can be established between the computer and the external device (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 16, Ma disclosed, (Fig. 1 and 2), an apparatus for interfacing a computer with an external device (212 or 222), the apparatus comprising: a display unit (2) having a display face (a front side of the display) and being movably coupled to a base unit such that the display face forms an angle generally less than  $180^\circ$  with the base unit (the display unit is rotatable and may be positioned at any desirable angle); and a chamber formed inside the display unit (2), the chamber having walls capable of covering the bottom side, a left and right side, and a front and back side of the external device (212 or 222), the chamber having an opening disposed on the outside of the display unit (21 or 22) for inserting the external device (212 or 222), (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2835

Regarding claims 14 and 17, the method steps recited in the claims are necessitated by the device structure as it is disclosed by Ma.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma in view of US/5,768,163 to Smith, II (Smith).

Ma disclosed all of the claim limitations as apply to claim 1, but did not disclose that said external device is a wireless communication device.

Smith disclosed (Fig. 15) an external device (82) for portable computer, said external device (82) comprising a wireless communication device (84).

Since the invention of Ma and Smith are from the same field of endeavor (portable computers), the purpose of said wireless communication device disclosed by Smith would be recognized in the invention of Ma.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the portable computer art at the time the invention was made to use the wireless communication device as taught by Smith instead of said external device (212 or 222) in the portable computer of Ma, in order to provide said portable computer of Ma with remote control capabilities (Smith, column 6, lines 6+).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Smith failed to disclose a cutout part and a transparent part positioned so, that when the external device inserted in the chamber, it would have been visible through said transparent part.

***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments presented in the amendment filed on 12/17/01 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 703-308-7824. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 2835

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Anatoly Vortman  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2835

A.V.  
January 16, 2002

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "A. Vortman", followed by a horizontal line.